Councillors Haley (Chair), Beacham and Reynolds

Apologies Councillor (none)

Also Present: Councillor (none)

MINUTE NO.	SUBJECT/DECISION	ACTION BY
180466	APOLOGIES FOR ARSENCE	

LSCA66.	APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE	
	(Agenda Item 1)	
	No apologies for absence were received.	
LSCA67.	URGENT BUSINESS	
	(Agenda Item 2)	
	No items of urgent business were received.	
LSCA68.	DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	
	(Agenda Item 3)	
	No declarations of interest were made.	
LSCA69.		
LSCA69.	DEPUTATIONS/PETITIONS	
	(Agenda Item 4)	
	No deputations or petitions were received.	
LSCA70.	MINUTES	
	MINUTES OF 1 NOVEMBER AND 23 NOVEMBER MEETINGS: (Agenda Item 5)	
	RESOLVED:	
	That the minutes of the meeting of Licensing Sub-Committee A on 1 November 2005 be approved as an accurate record.	
	2. That the minutes of the meeting of Licensing Sub-Committee A on 23 November be approved subject to the correction of the first part of the final sentence to read "Informed by the Chair that the applicant take on board the suggestion of the objectors"	

LSCA71. LOCAL SHOPPING, 191 PARK LANE N17 (NORTHUMBERLAND WARD)

(Agenda Item 6)

The Licensing Officer, Ms Barrett, presented the report to the Committee. She informed members that no objections to the application had been received from the Police, Enforcement Services, the Food Team, the Health and Safety team, Trading Standards, Safer Communities Service or the Fire Officer. However, the Planning Officer had made a representation on the matter.

Ms Barrett stated the Planning Service was concerned that the applicant, who held a lease from Haringey Council for the shop, had moved the toilet and kitchen facilities from the rear of the shop and installed them in the rear yard. This had been done without seeking planning permission. Haringey Council, as the landlord, had also not given consent for this to be done.

Ms Barrett informed the Committee the Planning Service were considering enforcement action. In addition, Property Services stated they were investigating taking action as the landlord.

The Committee was advised by the representative from Legal Services, Mr Mitcheson, that the concerns expressed about the tenants' breach of covenant were not relevant to the deliberations of Licensing Committee. This was because they did not relate to the licensing function of the authority but to its functions as a landlord and as a planning authority.

In her application, the applicant, Mrs Cicekli, had stated that there would be two people on duty in the shop who would be able to sell alcohol. The Committee asked Mrs Cicekli who those people would be.

Mrs Cicekli informed the Committee that she and her husband would be the two people on the premises who would be selling alcohol.

Mrs Cicekli stated that she was currently in possession of a personal licence to sell alcohol. However, this licence was an old licence. She stated that she was in the process of applying for a new licence.

RESOLVED:

That the application for a new premises licence be granted, subject to the following conditions:

1. The statutory mandatory conditions 19 to 21 of the Act;

- 2. That the recommendations of the Child Protection Authority on proof of age be complied with;
- 3. That the provisions of the operating schedule be enforced;
- 4. That the designated premises supervisor be in possession of a valid personal licence; and that the licensing officer be shown the current personal licence of any people named as designated premises supervisors.

LSCA72. ICE BAR, 18-29 PARK ROAD CROUCH END N8 (CROUCH END WARD)

(Agenda Item 7)

The Licensing Officer, Ms Barrett, presented the report on this application. It was an application for a new premises licence for the sale of alcohol and regulated entertainment as the old licence had expired.

Ms Barrett said representations had been received from the Metropolitan Police. They had requested that two accredited door supervisors be on duty while the premises were open.

The Noise Team had provided details of previous complaints and correspondence relating to the Ice Bar. This was in Appendix 2 of the report.

The objector, Ms Wallace, addressed the meeting. She was a resident of the flat above the premises. She stated that the noise from the customers of the bar was excessive and that the smell from cigarettes smoked inside and outside the bar was entering her flat. She also claimed that there had been disorder outside the bar previously.

The applicant, Mr Cooney, responded to the points made in objection. He stated that a full survey had been done by surveyors and acoustic specialists. Noise-proofing measures had been brought in. However, some of the noise-proofing work had been negated by the lowering of the floor in the flat above.

Mr Cooney stated that given the report of surveyors that the noise problems had been significantly worsened by the actions of the objector in lowering the floor of her flat, the Noise Team had lifted the noise abatement order that they had previously taken out against the Ice Bar.

RESOLVED

That the application be granted in full, subject to the following conditions:

1. The statutory mandatory conditions in sections 19 to 21 of the Act:

	 2. That the provisions of the operating schedule be enforced; and 3. That the recommendations of the CPA with respect to proof of age be complied with. 	
LSCA73.	,	
	(Agenda Item 8)	
	-	
	This item was withdrawn from consideration.	
LSCA74.	ITEMS OF URGENT BUSINESS	
	None.	

Councillor BRIAN HALEY

Chair, Licensing Sub-Committee A